

Identifying and conserving important freshwater areas – Australian Ramsar sites

Prof Max Finlayson, Charles Sturt Univ Dr Jamie Pittock, The Australian National Univ

Australian Ramsar Sites



Lack of a systematic approach - unlike what has been done for terrestrial (IBRA) and marine under the NRS. Current 65 sites not representative of wetland types.

- Directory of important wetlands out of date; national wetland inventory not done; some analysis of importance for waterbirds; reluctance of states to list sites; sampling effort biases; cultural values not included





Promises of systematic action to mitigate threats but not delivered (e.g. eflows, invasive species, climate change adaptation)

- -eflows monitoring agreed press release
- -defensiveness about probs data gaps
- -ecosystem services analyses lagging

Australian Ramsar Sites



Some positive trends in non-government action: Indigenous Protected Areas, private land Ramsar sites, growing engagement of conservation land and water trusts in ownership and management

- Reluctance of jurisdictions to support NGO initiatives for Ramsar listing
- assessment of representativeness needed

Australian Ramsar Sites



Require:

- -Standardised/comparable wetland classifications and inventory
- -Assessment of importance of sites against Ramsar criteria for listing sampling bias
- -Support for private initiatives
- -Systematic assessment and measurement of status, including ecosystem services
- -Restoration of degraded sites eflows, invasives, removal of weirs
- -Horizon scan / foresight





Thank you



Kakadu NP